arXiv:1108.4726v1 [math.NT] 24 Aug 2011

SPECIAL RELATIONS BETWEEN MULTIZETA VALUES AND PARITY RESULTS

JOSÉ ALEJANDRO LARA RODRÍGUEZ

Abstract. We study relations between multizeta values for function fields introduced by Thakur in [Tha09, Tha04]. The $\mathbb{F}_p$-span of Thakur's multizeta values is an algebra [Tha10]. In particular, the product $\zeta(a)\zeta(b)$ is a linear combination of multizeta values. In this paper, several of the conjectures formulated in [Lar10, Tha09] for small values or for special families of a about how to write $\zeta(a)\zeta(b)$ as an $\mathbb{F}_p$-linear combination of multizeta values, are proved. Also, the parity conjecture formulated in [Tha09] is proved.

1. Introduction

There are various interesting analogies [Gos79, Tha04, Vil06, Ros02] between function fields over finite fields and number fields. These analogies have been used to guess and prove results in one setting from the other. We start, at a very basic level, with the simplest analogies. The field $K = \mathbb{F}_q(t)$ of rational functions over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is a good analogue of the field $\mathbb{Q}$ of rational numbers. The polynomial ring $A = \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ is the analogue of the ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}$. Similarly, we have analogies $K_\infty \leftrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}_\infty \leftrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where the notation is defined below. These analogies have helped the development of number theory.

Recall that the Riemann zeta function is defined as $\zeta_{\mathbb{Z}}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$, where $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\Re s > 1$. There is a rich *special values* theory associated to $\zeta_{\mathbb{Z}}(s)$, which is intimately connected to Bernoulli numbers, B_n. If $n \geq 0$, we have $\zeta_{\mathbb{Z}}(-n) = -B_{n+1}/(n+1)$. Consequently, if $n \geq 1$, $\zeta_{\mathbb{Z}}(-2n) = 0$. Such zeros are called trivial zeros and they are simple zeros. With respect to the non-trivial zeros, the well known Riemann hypothesis says that the non-trivial zeros of $\zeta_{\mathbb{Z}}(s)$ lie on the line $\Re s = 1/2$. It is still unknown whether the Riemann hypothesis holds. For $m = 2k$, $k > 0$ an integer, we have Euler's Theorem

$$\zeta_{\mathbb{Z}}(m) = -\frac{B_m (2\pi i)^m}{2(m!)}.$$

There is no simple formula for $\zeta_{\mathbb{Z}}(2k+1)$ analogous to the previous one. It is not known even whether $\zeta_{\mathbb{Z}}(2k+1)$ is rational or irrational, except for $k = 1$ when it is irrational by well-known result of Apéry [Ape79].

For function field analogy, the Artin-Weil zeta function is defined by $\zeta_A(s) = \sum \mathrm{Norm}(I)^{-s}$, where the sum is over nonzero ideals and s is a complex variable, with $\Re s > 1$. The Riemann hypothesis in this case is known by Weil's theorem, but it is only a rational function of q^{-s}. So, for example there cannot be an analogue of Euler's Theorem connecting $\zeta_A(2k)$ to $(2\pi i)^{2k}$.

Date: June 8, 2011.
Key words and phrases. Multizeta, function fields, t-motives, periods.

A more suitable analogue of transcendental special values of the Riemann zeta are the Carlitz zeta values defined by $\zeta_A(s) = \sum_{a \in A_+} a^{-s}$, where $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and A_+ denotes the monic polynomials in $A = \mathbb{F}_q[t]$. Here the requirement monic is playing the role of "positive" in the classical Riemann zeta function $\zeta_{\mathbb{Z}}(s)$. In other words, instead of the norm which just depends on the degree of the polynomial, Carlitz used the whole polynomial, paying the price of considering a smaller domain for s, since we do not know how to raise a polynomial to a complex power. More justification lies in the following result [Car35, Car37], [Tha04, Theorem 5.2.1]. If m is 'even' (that is, a multiple of $q-1$) and positive,

$$\zeta_A(m) = -B_m \widetilde{\pi}^m / (q-1)\Pi(m).$$

Here, $B_m \in K$ is a Bernoulli analogue, $\Pi(m) \in A$ is a factorial analogue, and

$$\widetilde{\pi} = (t - t^q)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{t^{q^n} - t}{t^{q^{n+1}} - t}\right) \in \mathbb{C}_\infty,$$

plays the role of $2\pi i$ and is known to be transcendental over K [Wad41]. There is no functional equation known. But in fact, much is known about the nature of the special values at positive integers in contrast to the classical case. We have the following result due to Anderson, Thakur, and Yu [AT90, Yu91]: For m positive, $\zeta_A(m)$ is transcendental over K, and $\zeta_A(m)/\widetilde{\pi}^m$ is also transcendental if m is not 'even'.

In this case, the analogue of the Riemann hypothesis is known ([Wan96, DV96, Gos96, She98, Tha04, BADVVS10]). Orders of vanishing of zeta at negative integers are not yet fully understood (but see [Tha04, Gos96, DV06, BADVMB09]). For the details of the analytic continuation due to Goss, the theory of special values, its links with cyclotomic theory, periods of t-motives, etc., we refer to [Gos96, Tha04].

Now we turn to multizeta values. We refer to [Wal05], and references in there, for a survey of many exciting recent developments related to the multizeta values introduced by Euler and their connections with theory of algebraic number fields. We will be concerned with an analogous theory of function fields.

Dinesh Thakur [Tha04, Section 5.10] introduced two types of multizeta values for function fields over finite fields of characteristic p, one complex valued (generalizing the Artin-Weil zeta function) and the other with values in Laurent series over finite fields (generalizing the Carlitz-Goss zeta function). In this paper, we only focus on the latter. For its properties, connections with Drinfeld modules and Anderson t-motives, we refer the reader to [AT09, Tha04, Tha09, Tha10].

Thakur proves the existence of "shuffle" relations for the multizeta values (for a general A with a rational place at infinity) [Tha10]. In particular, he shows that the product of multizeta values can also be expressed as a sum of some multizeta values, so that the $\mathbb{F}_p$-span of all multizeta values is an algebra. In the function field case, the identities are much more complicated than the classical shuffle identities. In fact there are two types of identities, one with $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$ coefficients and the other with $\mathbb{F}_p$ coefficients. Note that although for many purposes a good analogue of $\mathbb{Q}$ is $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$, the prime field in characteristic p is $\mathbb{F}_p$ as $\mathbb{Q}$ is the prime field in characteristic 0. We concentrate only on the latter type.

The results in [Tha10], although effective, are not explicit and bypass the explicit conjectures formulated in [Tha09, Lar09, Lar10]. In this paper, we use the ideas of the process in [Tha10] to prove the main conjecture formulated in [Lar09, Lar10].

In this paper, several conjectures for small values of a (Theorems 7.3, 7.6, 7.7, and 7.9), and a conjecture for special large values of a and b (Theorem 6.3) are proved. Also, the parity conjecture (Theorem 5.1) formulated in [Tha09] is proved.

2. Notation

$\mathbb{Z}$	Ring of integers,
$\mathbb{Z}_+$	positive integers,
q	a power of a prime p, $q = p^s$,
$\mathbb{F}_q$	a finite field of q elements,
t	an independent variable,
A	the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$,
A_+	monic polynomials in A,
K	the function field $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$,
K_∞	$\mathbb{F}_q((1/t))$, the completion of K at ∞,
$\mathbb{C}_\infty$	completion of an algebraic clousure of K_∞,
A_d	elements in A of degree d,
A_{d+}	$A_d \cap A_+$,
$[n]$	$= t^{q^n} - t$,
ℓ_n	$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} (t - t^{q^i}) = (-1)^n [n][n-1] \cdots [1]$,
'even'	multiple of $q-1$,
deg	function assigning to $a \in A$ its degree in t,
$\operatorname{Int}(x)$	$= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \text{ is not integer} \\ 1 & \text{if } x \text{ is integer} \end{cases}$
$\lfloor x \rfloor$	the largest integer not greater than x.

3. Thakur's multizeta values

For $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, the *Carlitz zeta values* [Gos96, Tha04] are defined as

$$\zeta(s) = \zeta_A(s) := \sum_{a \in A_+} \frac{1}{a^s} \in K_\infty.$$

For $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d \geq 0$, we write

$$S_d(s) := \sum_{a \in A_{d+}} \frac{1}{a^s} \in K.$$

Given integers $s_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $d \geq 0$ put

$$S_d(s_1, \ldots, s_r) = S_d(s_1) \sum_{d > d_2 > \cdots > d_r \geq 0} S_{d_2}(s_2) \cdots S_{d_r}(s_r) \in K.$$

For $s_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, Thakur's *multizeta values* [Tha04, Tha09] are defined by:

$$\zeta(s_1, \ldots, s_r) := \sum_{d_1 > \cdots > d_r \geq 0} S_{d_1}(s_1) \cdots S_{d_r}(s_r) = \sum \frac{1}{a_1^{s_1} \cdots a_r^{s_r}} \in K_\infty,$$

where the second sum is over all $a_i \in A_+$ of degree d_i such that $d_1 > \cdots > d_r \geq 0$. We say that this multizeta value has depth r and weight $\sum s_i$.

4. Relations between multizeta values

Recall that Euler's multizeta values ζ (only in this paragraph, the greek letter ζ will be used to denote the classical multizeta values) are defined by $\zeta(s_1,\dots,s_r)=\sum\left(n_1^{s_1}\cdots n_r^{s_r}\right)^{-1}$, where the sum is over positive integers $n_1>n_2>\cdots>n_r$ and s_i are positive integers, with $s_1>1$ (this condition is required for convergence). Since $n_1=n_2$, $n_1>n_2$ or $n_2>n_1$, we have the "sum shuffle relation"

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta(a)\zeta(b)&=\sum_{n_1=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n_1^a}\sum_{n_2=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n_2^b}=\sum_{n_1=n_2}\frac{1}{n_1^{a+b}}+\sum_{n_1>n_2}\frac{1}{n_1^a n_2^b}+\sum_{n_2>n_1}\frac{1}{n_2^b n_1^a}\\&=\zeta(a+b)+\zeta(a,b)+\zeta(b,a).\end{aligned}$$

In the function field case, this sum shuffle relation fails because there are many polynomials of a given degree. In contrast to the classical sum shuffle, in the function field case, the identities we get are much more involved.

For $s_1,s_2\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, put

$$S_d(s_1,s_2)=\sum_{\substack{d=d_1>d_2\\ a_i\in A_+}}\frac{1}{a_1^{s_1}a_2^{s_2}}$$

where $d_i=\deg(a_i)$. For $a,b\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, we define

$$\Delta_d(a,b)=S_d(a)S_d(b)-S_d(a+b).$$

We write $\Delta(a,b)$ for $\Delta_1(a,b)$. The definition implies that $\Delta_d(a,b)=\Delta_d(b,a)$.

The next two theorems (the second theorem in the reference has implications to higher genus function fields, but we state only a special case relevant to us) are due to Thakur [Tha10, Theorems 1, 2].

Theorem 4.1. *Given $a,b\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, there are $f_i\in\mathbb{F}_p$ and $a_i\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, so that*

$$\Delta_d(a,b)=\sum f_iS_d(a_i,a+b-a_i) \tag{4.1.1}$$

holds for $d=1$. □

Theorem 4.2. *Fix A. If (4.1.1) holds for some $f_i\in\mathbb{F}_p^\times$ and distinct $a_i\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ for $d=1$, then (4.1.1) holds for all $d\geq 0$. In this case, we have the shuffle relation*

$$\zeta(a)\zeta(b)-\zeta(a+b)-\zeta(a,b)-\zeta(b,a)=\sum f_i\zeta(a_i,a+b-a_i).$$

□

Remark 4.3. For each $a,b\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ the set $S(a,b)$ of pairs (f_i,a_i) is independent of d. Notice that $S(a,b)=S(b,a)$.

5. The 'even' restriction

In [Tha09], Thakur conjectures that in the multizeta value identities all the iterated indices are 'even' and gives some heuristics reason for it. The following theorem proves the parity conjecture of Thakur. A different proof is given in [Lar11], but it is much more involved.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $A=\mathbb{F}_q[t]$. Given $a,b\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, there are $f_i\in\mathbb{F}_p$ and $a_i\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, so that*

$$S_1(a)S_1(b)-S_1(a+b)=\sum f_iS_1(a_i). \tag{5.1.1}$$

Moreover, the a_i's are such that $a+b-a_i$ are 'even'.

Proof. The first part is proved in [Tha10]. Here, we shall prove the second part following the proof in there. By specializing [Tha09, 3.3] to $d = 1$ we see that

$$S_1(k+1) = \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{[1]^{k+1}}\left(1 + \sum_{k_1=1}^{\lfloor k/q \rfloor}\binom{k - k_1(q-1)}{k_1}(-1)^{k_1}[1]^{k_1(q-1)}\right). \tag{5.1.2}$$

To simplify a little bit the notation, let us make the change $U \longleftrightarrow \frac{1}{[1]}$. Equation (5.1.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(a) &= (-1)^a U^a \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n_a}\binom{a-1-i(q-1)}{i}(-1)^i U^{-i(q-1)}\right) \\ &= \alpha_{a,0}U^{\varphi_a(0)} + \alpha_{a,1}U^{\varphi_a(1)} + \cdots + \alpha_{a,n_a}U^{\varphi_a(n_a)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi_a(i) = a - i(q-1)$, $0 \leq i \leq n_a = \lfloor (a-1)/q \rfloor$, and

$$\alpha_{a,i} = \begin{cases} (-1)^a & \text{if } i = 0, \\ \binom{\varphi_{a-1}(i)}{i}(-1)^{a+i} & \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, n_a. \end{cases}$$

Thus, $S_1(a)$ is a $\mathbb{F}_p$-linear combination of powers of U and, therefore, so is $\Delta(a,b)$. More precisely, since $\varphi_a(i) + \varphi_b(j) = \varphi_{a+b}(i+j)$, and $\alpha_{a,0}\alpha_{b,0} = \alpha_{a+b,0} = (-1)^{a+b}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &S_1(a)S_1(b) - S_1(a+b) = \\ &\qquad \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n_a}\alpha_{a,i}U^{\varphi_a(i)}\right)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n_b}\alpha_{b,j}U^{\varphi_b(j)}\right) - \sum_{l=0}^{n_{a+b}}\alpha_{a+b,l}U^{\varphi_{a+b}(l)} \\ &\qquad = \sum_{k=0}^{n_a+n_b}\beta_k U^{\varphi_{a+b}(k)} - \sum_{l=0}^{n_{a+b}}\alpha_{a+b,l}U^{\varphi_{a+b}(l)} \\ &\qquad = \sum_{k=1}^{n_a+n_b}\beta_k U^{\varphi_{a+b}(k)} - \sum_{k=1}^{n_{a+b}}\alpha_{a+b,k}U^{\varphi_{a+b}(k)}. \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta_k = \sum_{i+j=k}\alpha_{a,i}\alpha_{b,j}$. Notice that

$$a + b - \varphi_{a+b}(k) = (a+b) - (a+b) + k(q-1) = k(q-1).$$

Therefore, $S_1(a)S_1(b) - S_1(a+b)$ is a polynomial in U with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_p$ of degree less than $a+b$, such that $a+b-i$ is 'even' for every power i of U. Write

$$S_1(a)S_1(b) - S_1(a+b) = \theta_n U^n + \cdots + \theta_0 U^0,$$

where $\theta_n \neq 0$, $n < a+b$. Let $f_1 = (-1)^n\theta_n$ and $a_1 = n$. Each power of U in $S_1(a_1)$ is of the form $\varphi_{a_1}(i) = a_1 - i(q-1)$. Since $q-1$ divides $a+b-a_1$, it divides $a+b-a_1+i(q-1) = a+b-\varphi_{a_1}(i)$. Then, $S_1(a)S_1(b) - S_1(a+b) - f_1S_1(a_1)$ is again a polynomial in U with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_p$ of degree less than n, and each power of U satisfies the 'even' condition. We continue in this way, inductively, untill the sum is vacuous. □

Theorem 5.2. *Fix q. Let K be a function field of one variable with field of constants $\mathbb{F}_q$; let ∞ be a place of K of degree one, and let A be the ring of elements of*

K with no poles outside ∞. Given $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there are $f_i \in \mathbb{F}_p$ and $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$\zeta(a)\zeta(b) - \zeta(a+b) - \zeta(a,b) - \zeta(b,a) = \sum f_i \zeta(a_i, a+b-a_i),$$

with $a+b-a_i$ 'even'.

Proof. By the above theorem, there are $f_i \in \mathbb{F}_p$ and $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that (5.1.1) holds, and $a+b-a_i$ are 'even'. By Theorem 4.2, or rather by its more general version in [Tha10] we have for general A as above that

$$S_d(a)S_d(b) - S_d(a+b) = \sum f_i S_d(a_i, a+b-a_i)$$

holds for all $d \geq 0$. □

6. A relation for large indices

In this section we shall prove the Conjecture 2.8.1 formulated in [Lar09, Lar10] in two different ways. In [Lar11], there is a third proof of this result.

Lucas Theorem [Luc78a, Luc78b, Fin47] gives a method to determine the value of the binomial coefficient $\binom{m}{n}$ modulo a prime number p:

$$\binom{m}{n} \equiv \binom{m_0}{n_0} \cdots \binom{m_k}{n_k} \bmod p,$$

where $m = m_0 + m_1 p + \cdots + m_k$ and $n = n_0 + n_1 p + \cdots + n_k$ are the base p expansions of m and n, respectively. Since $\binom{a}{b} = 0$ if $b > a$, Lucas Theorem implies that the binomial coefficient $\binom{m}{n}$ does not vanish modulo p if and only if there is no carry over in base p in the sum of n and $m-n$.

Proposition 6.1. *For general q, we have*

$$S_1(2q^n - 1) = -\frac{[n+1]}{[1]^{2q^n}}. \tag{6.1.1}$$

Proof. We shall prove that for any k_1, $0 \leq k_1 \leq 2q^{n-1} - 1$,

$$\binom{2q^n - 2 - k_1(q-1)}{k_1}(-1)^{k_1} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k_1 \in \{0, 1, 1+q, \dots, 1+q+\cdots+q^{n-1}\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Lucas Theorem, only the terms where there is no carry over in base p in the sum of k_1 and $2q^n - 2 - k_1 q$ need to be considered. The base p expansion of $2q^{n-1} - 1$ is

$$2q^{n-1} - 1 = (p-1) + (p-1)p + \cdots + (p-1)p^{s(n-1)-1} + p^{s(n-1)}.$$

Let $k_1 = a_0 + a_1 p + \cdots + a_{s(n-1)-1} p^{s(n-1)-1} + a_{s(n-1)} p^{s(n-1)}$ be the base p expansion of $0 \leq k_1 \leq 2q^{n-1} - 1$, where $a_{s(n-1)} \in \{0, 1\}$. Therefore, the base p expansion of $k_1 q$ is $a_0 p^s + a_1 p^{s+1} + \cdots + a_{s(n-1)-1} p^{sn-1} + a_{s(n-1)} p^{sn}$. Finally $2q^n - 2 = (p-2) + (p-1)p + \cdots + (p-1)p^{sn-1} + p^{sn}$ is the base p expansion of $2q^n - 2$. Let b_i denote the digits of $2q^n - 2 - k_1 q$. Since the first s digits of $2q^n - 2$ are $p-2, p-1, \dots, p-1$, the first s digits of $k_1 q$ are zero, and $k_1 q + (2q^n - 2 - k_1 q) = 2q^n - 2$, it follows that the first s digits of $2q^n - 2 - k_1 q$ are $b_0 = p-2$ and $b_i = p-1$, $1 \leq i \leq s-1$. Next we determine the remaining b_i's assuming that there is no carry over in the sum of $2q^n - 2 - k_1 q$ and k_1. By this assumption, it follows immediately that $a_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq s-1$. Thus, $b_{s+1} = \cdots = b_{2s-1} = p-1$. Using again that there is no carry over in the sum of k_1 and $2q^n - 2 - k_1 q$, we get

$a_{s2-1} = \cdots = a_{3s-1} = 0$ and, therefore, $b_{2s+1} = \cdots = b_{3s-1} = p-1$. By continuing this way we obtain that $k_1 = a_0 + a_s p^s + a_{2s}p^{2s} + \cdots + a_{s(n-1)}p^{s(n-1)}$. Now, since $a_k + b_k \leq p-1$, $a_k + b_{k+s} = p-1$ and $b_0 = p-2$, we conclude that $a_{si} = 0$ or $a_{si} = 1$. It follows that if $a_{si} = 0$ for some i, then $a_{sj} = 0$ for $j > i$.

Now, if $k_1 = 0$, clearly $\binom{2q^n-2-k_1(q-1)}{k_1}(-1)^{k_1} = 0$. Let us assume that $k_1 = 1 + q + \cdots + q^i$ for some $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Then, $q^{i+1} - 1 = (1 + q + \cdots + q^i)(q-1)$. Therefore, $2q^n - 2 - k_1(q-1) = q^n - 1 + q^{i+1}(q^{n-i-1} - 1)$. The digits c_j of $2q^n - 2 - k_1(q-1)$ are $c_{s(i+1)} = p-2$, $c_{sn} = 1$, and $c_j = p-1$, otherwise. The digits of k_1 are $a_j = 1$ for $j = 0, s, \ldots, si$ and 0 otherwise. By Lucas Theorem, in $\mathbb{F}_p$ we have

$$\binom{2q^n - 2 - k_1(q-1)}{k_1} = \prod_{j=0}^{sn} \binom{c_j}{a_j} = (p-1)^{i+1} = (-1)^{i+1}.$$

Then $\binom{2q^n-2-k_1q}{k_1}(-1)^{k_1} = (-1)^{i+1+k_1} = 1$ because $i+1$ and k_1 have the same parity. Since $(-1)^{2q^n-1} = -1$ for any q, $\left\lfloor \frac{2q^n-2}{q} \right\rfloor = 2q^{n-1} - 1$, and $\sum_{i=0}^{n} [1]^{q^i} = [n+1]$, by (5.1.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(2q^n - 1) &= -\frac{1}{[1]^{2q^n-1}} \left(1 + \sum_{k_1=1}^{2q^{n-1}-1} \binom{2q^n - 2 - k_1(q-1)}{k_1} (-1)^{k_1} [1]^{k_1(q-1)} \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{[1]^{2q^n-1}} \left(1 + [1]^{q-1} + [1]^{q^2-1} + \cdots + [1]^{q^n-1} \right) \\ &= -\frac{[n+1]}{[1]^{2q^n}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 6.2. The Proposition 6.1 proves the Conjecture 2.10 in [Lar10] for the case $m = 2$ and $d = 1$.

Next we prove the Conjecture 2.8.1 formulated in [Lar10]. For general q we have the following theorem.

Theorem 6.3. *Let q be arbitrary. Then*

$$\zeta(q^n)\zeta(q^n - 1) = \zeta(2q^n - 1) + \zeta(q^n - 1, q^n).$$

Proof 1. By Theorem 4.2 it is enough to prove

$$S_1(q^n)S_1(q^n - 1) = S_1(2q^n - 1) - S_1(q^n). \tag{6.3.1}$$

From [Tha09, 3.3], we know that

$$S_d(ap^n) = 1/\ell_d^{ap^n}, \quad \text{if } a \leq q, \tag{6.3.2}$$

$$S_d(q^i - 1) = \frac{\ell_{d+i-1}}{\ell_{i-1}\ell_d^{q^i}} = \frac{[d+i-1]\cdots[d+1]}{[i-1]\cdots[1]\ell_d^{q^i-1}}. \tag{6.3.3}$$

Equation (6.3.1) follows from formulas (6.1.1), (6.3.2), (6.3.3), and from the fact $[n+1] - [n] = [1]^{q^n}$. □

Proof 2. By definition of $\Delta(a,b)$, it follows that

$$\Delta(a,b) = \sum_{\substack{n_1 \neq n_2 \\ n_1, n_2 \in A_{1+}}} \frac{1}{n_1^a n_2^b} = \sum_{n_2 \in A_{1+}} \frac{1}{n_2^b}\left(S_1(a) - \frac{1}{n_2^a}\right). \tag{6.3.4}$$

For any $a \in A_{1+}$, we have $[1]/a = a^{q-1} - 1$. By (6.3.2), we also have $[1]^{q^n} S_1(q^n) = -1$. Taking $b = q^n - 1$ in (6.3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(q^n, q^n - 1) &= S_1(q^n) \sum_{a \in A_{1+}} \frac{1}{a^{q^n-1}}\left(1 + \frac{[1]^{q^n}}{a^{q^n}}\right) \\ &= S_1(q^n) \sum_{a \in A_{1+}} \frac{1}{a^{q^n-1}} a^{(q-1)q^n} \\ &= S_1(q^n) S_1(-N), \end{aligned}$$

where $N = q^{n+1} - 2q^n + 1$. To finish, we prove that $S_1(-N) = -1$. Now

$$S_1(-N) = \sum_{\theta \in \mathbb{F}_q} (t+\theta)^N = t^N + \sum_{\theta \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} (t+\theta)^N = t^N + \sum_{l=0}^{N} \binom{N}{l} t^{N-l} \sum_{\theta \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \theta^l.$$

Since the sum $\sum_{\theta \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \theta^l$ is 0 if $q-1$ does not divide l, and -1 if $l \geq 0$ is divisible by $q-1$, and $N \equiv 0 \bmod (q-1)$, we get

$$S_1(-N) = -1 - \sum_{0 < l < \frac{N}{q-1}} \binom{N}{l(q-1)} t^{N - l(q-1)}.$$

Let $m = sn$. The base p expansion of N is $N = 1 + (p-2)p^m + (p-1)p^{m+1} + \cdots + (p-1)p^{m+s-1}$. Let $l(q-1) = \sum_{k=0}^{m+s-1} b_k p^k$ be the base p expansion of $l(q-1)$. By Lucas Theorem, the following equality holds in $\mathbb{F}_p$

$$\binom{N}{l(q-1)} = \binom{1}{b_0}\binom{0}{b_1} \cdots \binom{0}{b_{m-1}} \binom{p-2}{b_m} \binom{p-1}{b_{m+1}} \cdots \binom{p-1}{b_{m+s-1}}.$$

Therefore, $\binom{N}{l(q-1)} \neq 0$ if and only if $b_0 \leq 1$, $b_k = 0$ for $k = 1, \ldots, m-1$, and $b_m \leq p-2$.

Since p^m and $q-1$ are coprime, p^m is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}/(q-1)\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, the equation $j \equiv -\iota p^m \bmod (q-1)$ has always a solution. Furthermore, there is exactly one solution in the range $0 \leq \iota < q-1$. For $j = 0$, the solution is 0, and for $j = 1$ the solution is $q-2$ because $N = 1 + (q-2)p^m \equiv 0 \bmod (q-1)$.

If $\binom{N}{l(q-1)} \neq 0$, then $l(q-1) = j + ip^m$, $0 \leq j \leq 1$, $0 \leq i \leq q-2$, where $i = \sum_{k=0}^{m+s-1} b_{m+k} p^k$. Then, $l(q-1) \in \{0, N\}$. Thus, $\binom{N}{l(q-1)} = 0$ for $1 \leq l < N/(q-1)$. Therefore, $S_1(-N) = -1$. □

7. RELATIONS FOR SMALL VALUES OF a

In this section we prove that for $1 \leq a \leq p$, the sets $S(a,b)$ can be found recursively; we also prove some of the conjectures for low values of a given in [Tha09, Lar10].

The following results will be used in this section.

Theorem 7.1. *Let k be a positive integer. Let $\ell(k)$ be the sum of digits of k in base q.*

a) *If* $d > \ell(k)/(q-1)$*, then* $S_d(-k) = 0$ *(*[Tha04, Theorem 5.1.2]*; see also* [Lee43, Lemma 7.1] *and* [Gek88, Corollary 2.12]*).*
b) $S_d(-(q^{k+d}-1)) = (-1)^d D_{d+k}/L_d D_k^{q^d}$*, where* $D_n = [n][n-1]^q \cdots [1]^{q^{n-1}}$ *and* $L_n = [n][n-1]\cdots[1]$ *for* $n > 0$*,* $D_0 = 1$*, and* $L_0 = 1$ *(*[Car39]*; see also* [Gek88, Theorem 4.1]*).*

In particular, when $d = 1$, we have $S_1(-(q-1)) = -1$.

Definition 7.2. Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

(1) We set
$$r_a := (q-1)p^m,$$
where m is the smallest integer such that $a \le p^m$.

(2) For i, j, put
$$\phi(i,j) := r_a - a - j(q-1) + ir_a,$$
$$\phi(j) := \phi(0,j).$$

(3) We define
$$j_{a,\max} = \left\lfloor \frac{r_a - a}{q-1} \right\rfloor,$$
where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is the largest integer not greater than x.

(4) Let $\operatorname{Int}(x)$ be 1 if x is integer, and 0, otherwise.

Theorem 7.3 (Conjecture 4.3.1, [Tha09])**.** *For general* q*, we have,*
$$\zeta(1)\zeta(b) = \zeta(1+b) + \zeta(1,b) + \zeta(b,1) + \sum_{i=0}^{\sigma-1} \zeta(b-\phi(i), 1+\phi(i)),$$
where $\phi(i)$ *and* r_1 *are as in Definition 7.2, and* $b = r_1\sigma + \beta, 0 < \beta \le r_1$*.*

Proof. Let $a = 1$. Then, $r_1 = q-1$. By (6.3.3), we have $[1]S_1(1) = -1$. Proceeding as in the second proof of Theorem 6.3, we get $\Delta(1, b+r_1) = S_1(1)S_1(b)$. Therefore, $\Delta(1, b+r_1) - \Delta(1,b) = S_1(1+b)$. Now, $\Delta(1,b) = 0$ for $1 \le b \le q-1$ because $1+b \le q$ [Tha09, Theorem 1]. Therefore, for any $b \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\Delta(1,b) = \sum_{i=0}^{\sigma-1} S_1(b-\phi(i))$. Theorem follows from applying Theorem 4.2. □

Remark 7.4. (1) Theorem 7.3 is proved for $q = 2$ and b arbitrary, and also for general q and b 'even' in [Tha09].
(2) The proof of Theorem 7.3 shows that the sets $S(1,b)$ can be found recursively, with recursion length $r_1 = q-1$.

Theorem 7.5. *Let* $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_+$*. Let* r_a *be as in Definition 7.2. If* $2 \le a \le p$*, then*
$$\Delta(a, b+r_a) - \Delta(a,b) = \sum_{j=1}^{p-a} f_{a,j} S_1(a+b+(p-j)(q-1)) + S_1(a+b),$$
where $f_{a,j} = \binom{a+j-1}{j} = \binom{a+j-1}{a-1}$ *is nonzero for any* j*. In particular, the sets* $S(a,b)$*,* $2 \le a \le p$*, can be found recursively with recursion length* r_a*, by*
$$S(a, b+r_a) = S(a,b) \cup T(a, b+r_a),$$
where
$$T(a, b+r_a) = \{(1, a+b)\} \cup \{(f_{a,j}, a+b+(p-j)(q-1)) \mid 1 \le j \le p-a\}.$$

Proof. For each $n \in A_{1+}$, let $g_n = (-1)^{a+1}[1]^{p-a}/n^{p-a}$. Then

$$g_n = (-1)^{a+1}(n^{q-1}-1)^{p-a} = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{p-a} f_{a,j} n^{j(q-1)},$$

where $f_{a,j} = \binom{p-a}{j}(-1)^j$. Let $\Sigma = \sum_{j=1}^{p-a} f_{a,j} S_1(b + r_a - \phi(p-j))$. Then,

$$\Sigma = \sum_{n_2 \in A_{1+}} \sum_{j=1}^{p-a} \frac{f_{a,j}}{n_2^{b+r_a-(r_a-a-(p-j)(q-1))}} = \sum_{n_2 \in A_{1+}} \frac{1}{n_2^{b+r_a}} \frac{1}{n_2^a} \sum_{j=1}^{p-a} f_{a,j} n_2^{j(q-1)}.$$

By (6.3.3), we have $[1]^a S_1(a) = (-1)^a$. For any $n \in A_{1+}$,

$$S_1(a) - \frac{g_n}{n^a} = S_1(a) + S_1(a)\frac{[1]^p}{n^p} = S_1(a)\left(1 + \frac{[1]}{n}\right)^p = S_1(a) n^{p(q-1)}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(a, b + r_a) - \Sigma &= \sum_{n_2 \in A_{1+}} \frac{1}{n_2^{b+r_a}} \left(S_1(a) - \frac{1}{n_2^a}\left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{p-a} f_{a,j} n_2^{j(q-1)}\right)\right) \\ &= S_1(a) \sum_{n_2 \in A_{1+}} \frac{n_2^{p(q-1)}}{n_2^{b+r_a}} \\ &= S_1(a) S_1(b).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\Delta(a, b + r_a) - \Delta(a, b) = \Sigma + S_1(a + b)$. This shows that $T(a, b + r_a)$ is exactly as claimed. Note that $f_{a,j} \neq 0$ because of Lucas Theorem. Finally,

$$f_{a,j} = \binom{p-a}{j}(-1)^j = (-1)^j \frac{1}{j!}\prod_{i=0}^{j-1}(p - a - i) = \frac{1}{j!}\prod_{i=0}^{j-1}(a + i) = \binom{a+j-1}{j}.$$

□

Next, we apply Theorem 7.5 to $a = 2$ and $p = 2$.

Theorem 7.6 (Conjecture 2.1, [Lar10])**.** *Let q be a power of $p = 2$. Let r_2, $\phi(i, j)$ and* Int $(\cdot)$ *be as in Definition 7.2. Write $b = r_2\sigma + \beta$, $0 < \beta \leq r_2$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta(2)\zeta(b) = \zeta(2 + b) + \zeta(2, b) + \zeta(b, 2)& \\ + \sum_{i=0}^{\sigma-1} \zeta(b - \phi(i, 0), 2 + \phi(i, 0)) + \mathrm{Int}\left(\frac{b}{q-1}\right)\frac{b}{q-1}\zeta(2, b).&\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.2, it is enough to prove $\Delta(2, b) = D(2, b)$, where

$$D(2, b) = \sum_{i=0}^{\sigma-1} S_1(b - \phi(i, 0)) + \mathrm{Int}\left(\frac{b}{q-1}\right)\frac{b}{q-1}S_1(2). \tag{7.6.1}$$

Note that $b + r_2 = r_2(\sigma + 1) + \beta$; also $b + r_2 - \phi(i, 0) = b - \phi(i - 1, 0)$. It follows that

$$D(2, b + r_2) = \sum_{i=-1}^{\sigma-1} S_1(b - \phi(i, 0)) + \mathrm{Int}\left(\frac{b + r_2}{q-1}\right)\frac{b + r_2}{q-1}S_1(2).$$

Since $q-1$ divides r_2, then $q-1$ divides b if and only if $q-1$ divides $b+r_2$; also $b-\phi(-1,0)=2+b$. Thus, $D(2,b+r_2)-D(2,b)=S_1(2+b)$. On the other hand, since $a=p$, by Theorem 7.5, we have $\Delta(2,b+r_2)-\Delta(2,b)=D(2,b+r_2)-D(2,b)$.

Let us compute $\Delta(2,b)$ for $1\leq b\leq r_2$. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 6.3, we get $\Delta(2,b)=S_1(2)S_1(-(r_2-b))$. If $b=r_2=2(q-1)$, then $S_1(0)=0$. If $b=q-1$, then, by Theorem 7.1 (b), $S_1(-(q-1))=-1$ follows. Suppose $1\leq b<q-1$. Then $r_2-b=(q-2-b)+q$ is the base q expansion of r_2-b. Since $\ell(r_2-b)<q-1$, by Theorem 7.1 (a), it follows that $S_1(-(r_2-b))=0$. If now, $q-1<b<r_2$, write $b=(q-1)+\rho$, where $0<\rho<q-1$. Then $r_2-b=q-1-\rho$ is the base q expansion of r_2-b. Applying Theorem 7.1 (a) again, we have that $S_1(-(r_2-b))=0$. In summary, $\Delta(2,b)=S_1(2)$ if $b=q-1$ and 0, otherwise. Therefore, $\Delta(2,b)=D(2,b)$ for $b=1,\ldots,r_2$. ☐

Theorem 7.7 (Conjecture 2.6, [Lar10])**.** *Let p be any prime and let q be a power of p. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta(2)\zeta(b)&=\zeta(2+b)+\zeta(2,b)+\zeta(b,2)\\&\quad+\sum_{j=0}^{p-1}(j+2)\sum_{b-\phi(i,p-1-j)>2}\zeta(b-\phi(i,p-1-j),2+\phi(i,p-1-j))\\&\qquad\qquad+\operatorname{Int}\left(\frac{b}{q-1}\right)\frac{b}{q-1}\zeta(2,b),\end{aligned}$$

where $\phi(i,k)$ and Int $(\cdot)$ *are as in Definition 7.2.*

Proof. Let $f_b=\operatorname{Int}\left(\frac{b}{q-1}\right)\frac{b}{q-1}$. By Theorem 4.2, it is enough to prove $\Delta(2,b)=D(2,b)$, where

$$D(2,b)=\sum_{j=0}^{p-1}(j+2)\sum_{i=0}^{n(b,j)}S_1(b+2-(pi+1+j)(q-1))+f_bS_1(2). \tag{7.7.1}$$

We have $b+r_2+2-(pi+1+j)(q-1)=b+2-(p(i-1)+1+j)(q-1)$ and $n(b+r_2,j)=n(b,j)+1$. Then

$$D(2,b+r_2)=\sum_{j=0}^{p-1}(j+2)\sum_{i=-1}^{n(b,j)}S_1(b+2-(pi+1+j)(q-1))+f_{b+r_2}S_1(2).$$

Now, $q-1$ divides b if and only if $q-1$ divides $b+r_2$ because $q-1$ divides r_2. Therefore,

$$D(2,b+r_2)-D(2,b)=\sum_{j=1}^{p}(j+1)S_1(2+b+(p-j)(q-1))).$$

On the other hand, $f_{2,j}=\binom{j+1}{1}=j+1$ for $1\leq j\leq p-2$, $j+1=0$ when $j=p-1$, and $j+1=1$ when $j=p$. By Theorem 7.5, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(2,b+r_2)-\Delta(2,b)&=\sum_{j=1}^{p-2}f_{2,j}S_1(2+b+(p-j)(q-1))+S_1(2+b)\\&=D(2,b+r_2)-D(2,b).\end{aligned}$$

To finish, we prove that $\Delta(2,b) = D(2,b)$ for $1 \leq b \leq r_2$. Let $1 \leq b \leq r_2$. If $n(b,j) \geq 1$, then $r_2 \geq b \geq r_2 + (1+j)(q-1) + 1$ which implies the contradiction $0 \geq (1+j)(q-1)+1 \geq 1$. Thus, $n(b,j) < 1$. Now, $n(b,j) = 0$ if and only if $b - 1 - (1+j)(q-1) \geq 0$. Thus, equation (7.7.1) becomes

$$D(2,b) = \sum_{j=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{b-q}{q-1} \right\rfloor} (j+2) S_1(b+2-(1+j)(q-1)) + f_b S_1(2). \tag{7.7.2}$$

Write $b = \lambda(q-1) + \rho$, where $0 \leq \rho < q-1$. If $\rho = 0$, take $l = \lambda - 1$; if $\rho > 0$, let $l = \lambda$. Thus, l is the integer in the set $\{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$ such that $l(q-1)+1 \leq b \leq (l+1)(q-1)$. Then, $(l-1)(q-1) \leq b - q \leq l(q-1) - 1 < l(q-1)$. Therefore, $\left\lfloor \frac{b-q}{q-1} \right\rfloor = l - 1$. Now, we rewrite equation (7.7.2) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} D(2,b) &= \sum_{n \in A_{1+}} \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \frac{j+2}{n^{b+2-(1+j)(q-1)}} + \sum_{n \in A_{1+}} \frac{f_b}{n^2} \\ &= \sum_{n \in A_{1+}} \frac{1}{n^{b+2-(q-1)}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \frac{(j+2) n^{b+2-(q-1)}}{n^{b+2-(1+j)(q-1)}} + f_b n^{b-(q-1)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n \in A_{1+}} \frac{P_n}{n^{b+2-(q-1)}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $P_n = \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} (j+2) n^{j(q-1)} + f_b n^{b-(q-1)}$. By (6.3.2), $S_1(2) = 1/[1]^2$. Then

$$\Delta(2,b) - D(2,b) = \sum_{n \in A_{1+}} \frac{n^2 - [1]^2 - n^{q-1}[1]^2 P_n}{n^{b+2}[1]^2}.$$

Now, we compute $(n^{q-1}-1)^2 P_n$. Firstly, note that

$$\begin{aligned} n^{2(q-1)} \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} (j+2) n^{j(q-1)} &= \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} (j+2) n^{(j+2)(q-1)}, \\ -2n^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} (j+2) n^{j(q-1)} &= -\sum_{j=-1}^{l-1} 2(j+3) n^{(j+2)(q-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{j=0}^{l-1} (j+2) n^{j(q-1)} = \sum_{j=-2}^{l-1} (j+4) n^{(j+2)(q-1)}.$$

Therefore, $(n^{q-1}-1)^2 P_n$ equals

$$2 - n^{q-1} - (l+2) n^{l(q-1)} + (l+1) n^{(l+1)(q-1)} + (n^{q-1}-1)^2 f_b n^{b-(q-1)}.$$

Since $n^{q-1}[1]^2 P_n = n^{q+1}(n^{q-1}-1)^2 P_n$, it follows that $n^{q-1}[1]^2 P_n$ equals

$$2n^{q+1} - n^{2q} - (l+2) n^{(l+1)(q-1)+2} + (l+1) n^{(l+2)(q-1)+2} + n^{q-1}[1]^2 f_b n^{b-(q-1)}.$$

Using $n^2 - [1]^2 = -n^{2q} + 2n^{q+1}$, we get

$$n^2 - [1]^2 - n^{q-1}[1]^2 P_n = (l+2)n^{(l+1)(q-1)+2} \\ - (l+1)n^{(l+2)(q-1)+2} - n^{q-1}[1]^2 f_b n^{b-(q-1)}.$$

Dividing $n^2 - [1]^2 - n^{q-1}[1]^2 P_n$ by n^{b+2} and summing over $n \in A_{1^+}$, we get

$$[1]^2 \left(\Delta(2,b) - D(2,b)\right) = (l+2)S_1(-k_1) - (l+1)S_1(-k_2) - f_b.$$

where $k_1 = (l+1)(q-1)-b$ and $k_2 = (l+2)(q-1)-b$. Now, if $b \equiv 0 \bmod (q-1)$, then $l = \frac{b}{q-1} - 1$; thus, $k_1 = 0$ and $k_2 = q-1$. By Theorem 7.1 (b), we have $S_1(-(q-1)) = -1$; since $S_1(0) = 0$ and $f_b = \frac{b}{q-1} = l+1$, it follows that $(\Delta(2,b) - D(2,b)) = 0$. Suppose $b \not\equiv 0 \bmod (q-1)$. Then, $f_b = 0$. In this case, $b = l(q-1)+\rho$ with $0 < \rho < q-1$. Therefore, $k_1 = q-1-\rho$ and $k_2 = (q-2-\rho)+q$. Note that these are the base q expansions of k_1 and k_2 because $q-1-\rho < q-1$ and $q-2-\rho < q-1$. Then, $\ell(k_1) = \ell(k_2) = q-1-\rho$. Since $\ell(k_1) = \ell(k_2) < (q-1)$, by Theorem 7.1 (a), it follows that $S_1(-k_1) = S_1(-k_2) = 0$. Therefore, $\Delta(a,b) - D(2,b) = 0$ for $1 \le b \le r_2$. □

Remark 7.8. Theorem 7.7 generalizes Theorem 7.6. Let q be a power of p. Let $b \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $0 \le j \le p-1$. Since $\phi(i, p-1-j) = (pi+1+j)(q-1)-2$, the condition $b - \phi(i,p-1-j) > 2$ is equivalent to $n(b,j) \ge i$, where $n(b,j) = \left\lfloor \frac{b-1-(1+j)(q-1))}{r_2} \right\rfloor$. More precisely, $b - \phi(i,p-1-j) > 2 \iff \frac{b-1}{q-1} \ge pi+1+j$. Now, we specialize to $p = 2$ and write $b = r_2\sigma + \beta$, $0 < \beta \le r_2$. Then, $n(b,p-1) = n(b,1) = \sigma - 1$. Equation (7.7.1) becomes equation (7.6.1):

$$\sum_{j=0}^{1}(j+2)\sum_{i=0}^{\sigma-1} S_1(b-\phi(i,1-j)) + \mathrm{Int}\left(\frac{b}{q-1}\right)\frac{b}{q-1}S_1(2) \\ = 3\sum_{i=0}^{\sigma-1} S_1(b-\phi(i,0)) + \mathrm{Int}\left(\frac{b}{q-1}\right)\frac{b}{q-1}S_1(2).$$

Theorem 7.9 (Conjecture 2.3, [Lar10])**.** *Let $q = 2$. For $b \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have*

$$\zeta(3)\zeta(b) = \zeta(b+3) + \zeta(3,b) + \zeta(b,3) \\ + \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (b-5)/4 \rfloor} \zeta(b-1-4i, 4+4i) + \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (b-4)/4 \rfloor} \zeta(b-4i, 3+4i) \\ + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \mathrm{Int}\left(\frac{b-i}{r_3}\right)\left(\zeta(2,b+1) + \zeta(3,b)\right).$$

Proof. It is enough to prove that $\Delta(3,b) = D(3,b)$, where

$$D(3,b) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (b-5)/4 \rfloor} S_1(b-1-4i) + \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (b-4)/4 \rfloor} S_1(b-4i) \\ + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \mathrm{Int}\left(\frac{b-i}{r_3}\right)\left(S_1(2) + S_1(3)\right).$$

Using that $\lfloor x+1\rfloor = \lfloor x\rfloor + 1$, we get $\lfloor (b-5)/4\rfloor = \lfloor (b-1)/4\rfloor - 1$ and $\lfloor (b-4)/4\rfloor = \lfloor b/4\rfloor - 1$. Then,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (b-1)/4\rfloor} S_1(b+4-1-4i) = \sum_{i=-1}^{\lfloor (b-5)/4\rfloor} S_1(b-1-4i),$$

and

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor b/4\rfloor} S_1(b+4-4i) = \sum_{i=-1}^{\lfloor (b-4)/4\rfloor} S_1(b-4i).$$

Since $(b-i) \equiv 0 \bmod 4$ if and only if $(b+4-i) \equiv 0 \bmod 4$, it follows that $D(3,b+4) - D(3,b) = S_1(b+3) + S_1(b+4)$. By (5.1.2), we have

$$S_1(3) = \frac{1}{[1]^3} + \frac{1}{[1]^2} = \frac{1}{n^3(n+1)^3} + \frac{1}{n^2(n+1)^2}.$$

A straight-forward calculation shows that $S_1(3) - \frac{1}{n^3} - n^4 S_1(3) = 1$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(3,b+4) - \Delta(3,b) &= \sum_{n\in A_{1+}} \frac{1}{n^{b+4}}\left(S_1(3) - \frac{1}{n^3} - n^4 S_1(3) - n\right)\\ &= S_1(b+4) + S_1(b+3).\end{aligned}$$

Then, $\Delta(3,b)$ can be found recursively with recursion length $r_3 = 4$, and $\Delta(3,b+4) - \Delta(3,b) = D(3,b+4) - D(3,b)$. Finally, a direct calculation shows that $\Delta(3,b) = D(3,b)$ for $b = 1,2,3,4$. $\square$

Remark 7.10. Let $q = 2$ and $a = 3$. Then, $\phi(i,j) = 1 - j + 4i$. The condition $b - \phi(i,0) > 3$ is equivalent to $i \leq (b-5)/4$. Since $j_{3,\max} = 1$, the condition $b - \phi(i, j_{3,\max}) > 3$ is equivalent to $i \leq (b-4)/4$. Therefore, the proof of Theorem 7.9 confirms Conjecture 2.3 of [Lar10].

Acknowledgments This work has been developed under the direction of Dr. Dinesh S. Thakur at the University of Arizona and Dr. Gabriel D. Villa Salvador at the Departamento de Control Automático of the Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN (Cinvestav-IPN) in México City. I thank Javier Diaz-Vargas for his suggestions and advice. I want to express my gratitude to the Universidad Autónoma de Yucatán and the Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología for their financial support. I thank the contributors of the Sage project [S+10] for providing the development environment for this research.

REFERENCES

[Ape79] Roger Apery. Irrationalité de $\zeta(3)$ et $\zeta(3)$. *Astérisque*, 61:11–13, 1979. 1

[AT90] Greg W. Anderson and Dinesh S. Thakur. Tensor powers of the Carlitz module and zeta values. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 132(1):159–191, 1990. 1

[AT09] Greg W. Anderson and Dinesh S. Thakur. Multizeta values for $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$, their period interpretation and relations between them. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2009(11):2038–2055, May 2009. 1

[BADVMB09] Victor Bautista-Ancona, Javier Diaz-Vargas, and José Luis Maldonado-Bazán. Orders of vanishing of zeros of characteristic p zeta function. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 39(2):399–412, 2009. 1

[BADVVS10] Victor Bautista-Ancona, Javier Diaz-Vargas, and Gabriel Villa-Salvador. Notes on the Riemann hypothesis in characteristic p. *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 63(3):341–353, 2010. 1

[Car35] Leonard Carlitz. On certain functions connected with polynomials in a Galois field. *Duke Math. J.*, 1(2):137–168, 1935. 1

[Car37] Leonard Carlitz. An analogue of the von Staudt-Clausen theorem. *Duke Math. J.*, 3(3):503–517, 1937. 1

[Car39] Leonard Carlitz. Some sums involving polynomials in a Galois field. *Duke Math. J.*, 5:941–947, 1939. 7.1

[DV96] Javier Diaz-Vargas. Riemann hypothesis for $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$. *J. Number Theory*, 59(2):313–318, 1996. 1

[DV06] Javier Diaz-Vargas. On zeros of characteristic p zeta function. *J. Number Theory*, 117(2):241–262, 2006. 1

[Fin47] Nathan J. Fine. Binomial coefficients modulo a prime. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 54:589–592, 1947. 6

[Gek88] Ernst-Ulrich Gekeler. On power sums of polynomials over finite fields. *J. Number Theory*, 30(1):11–26, 1988. 7.1

[Gos79] David Goss. Addendum to v-adic zeta functions, L-series, and measures for function fields. *Invent. Math.*, 55(2):117–119, 1979. 1

[Gos96] David Goss. *Basic structures of function field arithmetic*, volume 35 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996. 1, 3

[Lar09] José Alejandro Lara Rodríguez. Some conjectures and results about multizeta values for $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$. Master's thesis, Autonomous University of Yucatan, Mexico, May 2009. 1, 6

[Lar10] José Alejandro Lara Rodríguez. Some conjectures and results about multizeta values for $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$. *J. Number Theory*, 130(4):1013–1023, 2010. (document), 1, 6, 6.2, 6, 7, 7.6, 7.7, 7.9, 7.10

[Lar11] José Alejandro Lara Rodríguez. Relations between multizeta values in characterist p. *J. Number Theory*, 131(4):2081–2099, 2011. 5, 6

[Lee43] Herbert Leonard Lee. Power sums of polynomials in a Galois field. *Duke Math. J.*, 10:277–292, 1943. 7.1

[Luc78a] Edouard Lucas. Sur les congruences des nombres eulériens et les coefficients différentiels des functions trigonométriques suivant un module premier. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 6:49–54, 1878. 6

[Luc78b] Edouard Lucas. Théorie des Fonctions Numériques Simplement Périodiques. *Amer. J. Math.*, 1(3):197–240, 1878. 6

[Ros02] Michael Rosen. *Number theory in function fields*, volume 210 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002. 1

[S$^+$10] William A. Stein et al. *Sage Mathematics Software (Version 4.4.4)*. The Sage Development Team, 2010. `http://www.sagemath.org`. 7

[She98] Jeffrey T. Sheats. The Riemann hypothesis for the Goss zeta function for $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$. *J. Number Theory*, 71(1):121–157, 1998. 1

[Tha04] Dinesh S. Thakur. *Function field arithmetic*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2004. (document), 1, 3, 7.1

[Tha09] Dinesh S. Thakur. Relations between multizeta values for $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2009(12):2318–2346, June 2009. (document), 1, 3, 5, 5, 6, 7, 7.3, 7, 1

[Tha10] Dinesh S. Thakur. Shuffle Relations for Function Field Multizeta Values. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, 2010(11):1973–1980, 2010. (document), 1, 4, 5, 5

[Vil06] Gabriel Daniel Villa Salvador. *Topics in the theory of algebraic function fields*. Mathematics: Theory & Applications. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2006. 1

[Wad41] L. I. Wade. Certain quantities transcendental over $GF(p^n, x)$. *Duke Math. J.*, 8:701–720, 1941. 1

[Wal05] Michel Waldschmidt. Hopf algebras and transcendental numbers. In *Zeta functions, topology and quantum physics*, volume 14 of *Dev. Math.*, pages 197–219. Springer, New York, 2005. 1

[Wan96] Daqing Wan. On the Riemann hypothesis for the characteristic p zeta function. *J. Number Theory*, 58(1):196–212, 1996. 1

[Yu91] Jing Yu. Transcendence and special zeta values in characteristic *p*. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 134(1):1–23, 1991. 1

Facultad de Matemáticas de la Universidad Autónoma de Yucatán Anillo Periférico Norte, Tablaje Cat. 13615, Colonia Chuburná Hidalgo Inn, Mérida Yucatán, México

Departamento de Control Automático, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Av. Instituto Politécnico Nacional 2508, San Pedro Zacatenco, 07360, México, D.F.
E-mail address: `lrodri@uady.mx, jlara@ctrl.cinvestav.mx`